

Aerosol Phase Function Monitoring

"II"

Auger Collaboration Meeting

Malargue, Argentina

John A.J. Matthews

New Mexico Center for Particle Physics

University of New Mexico

April 26, 2002

1. Air Cherenkov Correction to Fluorescence Signal
2. Aerosol Phase Function Measurement ... Concept
3. Dedicated APF Light Source:
 - Design
 - Test at HiRes
 - Status of Coihueco Installation
4. ~~Summary~~ "THREE" issues:
 - i) APF glancing shot DAQ implications
 - ii) "TRIPLE POINT" vertical lasers
 - iii) Dedicated "flat field" N_2 lasers.

Simulations (and event reconstruction):

a) $\gamma_f(\lambda)$
fluorescence

$$+ T^m T^a$$

b) $\gamma_c(\lambda, \theta)$

↑
with respect
to the shower
axis

+ single scatter
(into F.D. F.O.V.)

↑
need $N(z, \lambda)$
and $\frac{1}{\sigma_{\text{sc}}}$

$$+ T^m T^a$$


↑
need $N(z, \lambda)$



$m = \text{molecular/Rayleigh}$

$a = \text{aerosol/Mie}$


where: $T(z, \lambda, \alpha_{\text{viewing}}) = e^{-T(z, \lambda) / \sin \alpha_{\text{viewing}}}$

$$T(z, \lambda) = \int_0^z \frac{dz}{N(z, \lambda)}$$





"A.P.F. light source geometry"



$$\text{Signal}_i = I \times \frac{\Delta z}{\lambda^m} \times \left(\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dz} \right)^m + \frac{1^m}{\lambda^a} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dz} \right)^a \right) \Delta \Omega_i \epsilon_i$$

where: $\Delta z = \frac{d^2 \Delta \theta}{d_\perp}$


$$\Delta \Omega_i = \frac{\text{Area (Telescope Aperture)}}{d^2}$$

$$I = I_{\text{source}} e^{-z/\lambda_{DT}} \approx I_{\text{source}} \text{ for } z \ll \lambda_{DT}$$


$$\frac{1^m}{\lambda^a} \approx 1 \text{ (typically) and measured by H.A.M.s}$$

$$\therefore \text{Signal}_i \approx \frac{\text{Area}}{d_\perp} \underbrace{\left(\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dz} \right)^m + \frac{1^m}{\lambda^a} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{d\sigma}{dz} \right)^a \right)}_{\text{"sum" of molecular (Rayleigh) and aerosol phase functions.}} \Delta \Omega_i I_{\text{source}} \epsilon_i$$

"sum" of molecular (Rayleigh)
and aerosol phase functions.





TOPO! map printed on 03/28/02 from "Utah.tpo" and "Untitled.tpo"
WGS84 112°41.000' W
112°42.000' W
112°43.000' W





TN ★ / MN
13½°


Map created with TOPO!® ©2001 National Geographic (www.nationalgeographic.com/topo)














APF Source at Coihueco

N ↑

Control PC at
Coihueco

Communications
via serial
radio link



$d_1 \approx 250\text{m}$





3 (selectible) light beams:
 $330\text{ nm}, 360\text{ nm}, 390\text{ nm}$

Light source
 $\sim 1.3\text{ km}$ from
Coihueco

Triggered on "GPS second" + fixed
offset

NO moving parts (other than relay)






Sea Box, Inc.

CORPORATE OFFICE

876 Land Street
 East Riverton, NJ 08077-1827
 Tel: (856) 303-1101
 Fax: (856) 303-1501
www.seabox.com
 e-mail: sales@seabox.com



DOUBLE DOORS ONE END (TYPE 1) 20 DRY FREIGHT ISO CARGO CONTAINER

20' DOUBLE DOOR ONE END	Length		Height		Width		Door Opening			
	Exterior	Interior	Exterior	Interior	Exterior	Interior	Height	Width		
FEET / INCHES	19' 10 1/2"	19' 2 5/84"	8' 6"	7' 4 5/84"	8' 0"	7' 0 1/2"	6' 11 3/4"	7' 8 1/4"		
METRIC	6,058	5,844	2,438	2,227	2,438	2,350	2,125	2,340		
20' DOUBLE DOOR ONE END	Tare Weight		Payload		Gross Weight		Cubic Capacity			
	LBS	4,960	47,920	52,910	1,096 CU.FT.		KG	1,1250	21,750	14,0900

ALL NEW CONTAINERS ARE MANUFACTURED
 TO THE LATEST ISO STANDARDS.


STANDARD FEATURES

- Corrugated steel sides, and roof
- 14 gauge locking steel double end swing doors
- 1 3/8" thick marine wood floors, forklift tested to 10,000 lbs per 14 square inches
- Tie down steel lashing rings, 400 lbs. csp. each
- (2) way laden fork lift pockets
- Vents, (2) each

OPTIONAL FEATURES


- 2nd set of (2) way unladen fork lift pockets
- Manifest box (1) each
- Adjustable shelving brackets (6) sets
- Decking and storing beams (15) each

PART # SB361



F.D. camera readout for "glancing shots"
 (to measure APF at small scattering angles)

1. What is the "geometry"?




- ✓ Need good (precision) LIDAR pointing
- ✓ Need to minimize (then vary) height where atmosphere is "monitored".
 At 1° the height difference $\approx 45\text{km} \times \tan 1^\circ = 0.79\text{km}$

2. For subtraction of multiple scattered light:

a) need to read out most of camera, i.e.
many pixels on either side of "track"

b) need to read out many more time bins
 → "than usual"

This monitors M.S. light to allow subtraction w/o simulation



"Triple Point"


Vertical basins

"North"

Coihueco

Morados

L.L.



Fixed, low Power, N_2 lasers
"behind" each F.D.

IF with additional experience we find
that vertical (laser) shots (from the
F.D. center) provide a useful "flat field"
illumination .00

THEN we should consider installing an
inexpensive N_2 laser at each F.D.



Then on uniform, clear nights (as seen
by the LIDAR(s)) perhaps 10~100 vertical
 N_2 laser shots would be fired to
monitor the individual AND telescope
to telescope relative performance.